	J9AQgasS	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
3		10 CD 252 (IAIX)
4	V.	18 CR 252 (LAK) Sentence
5	THOMAS GASSNOLA	
6	Defendant x	
7	x	
8		New York, N.Y. September 10, 2019 3:30 p.m.
9		
10	Before:	
11	HON. LEWIS A	. KAPLAN District Judge
12		2202200 00000
13	APPEARANCES	
14	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York	
15		
16	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT	
	ELI J. MARK	
16	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18 19	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18 19 20	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18 19 20 21	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ELI J. MARK EDWARD DISKANT ALINE R. FLODR Assistant United States Attor	

1 (Case called) 2 DEPUTY CLERK: Government, are you ready? 3 MR. MARK: Yes, Ely Mark, Edward Diskant and Aline Flodr for the government. Good afternoon. 4 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 6 DEPUTY CLERK: Defendant, are you ready? 7 MR. KELLY: Yes. Daniel Kelly for the defendant. Good afternoon. 8 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 Mr. Kelly, have you and Mr. Gassnola had the 11 presentence report for the necessary period? 12 MR. KELLY: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Gassnola, have you read the 14 presentence report and consulted with your lawyer about it? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. THE COURT: It will be sealed and made available to 16 17 counsel in the event of an appeal. You may be seated. Are 18 there any unresolved objections to the presentence report? 19 MR. MARK: No, your Honor. 20 MR. KELLY: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I adopt the presentence report and the 22 guideline computation and range it contains. 23 I understand the government has a motion.

defendant to be sentenced in light of the Section 5K1.1 factors

Yes, your Honor. We have a motion for the

MR. MARK:

24

25

under the United States Sentencing Guidelines.

THE COURT: Granted.

I've received in relation to the sentencing the presentence report a letter from the government dated August 27, a letter on behalf of Mr. Gassnola with various attachments from Mr. Kelly which was filed September 3, and I have proposed orders of restitution.

Is there anything else of which I should be aware?

MR. MARK: No, your Honor.

MR. KELLY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I will hear you, Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, your Honor. Good afternoon again.

Your Honor, with respect to Mr. Gassnola, I just want to highlight a few things in the letters, the attachments to my submission, that I think describe Mr. Gassnola perhaps more articulately than anyone could. These are acts that Mr. Gassnola took with respect to mentoring young men in a basketball program he started in Worcester, Massachusetts some time ago. Some of these acts, your Honor, date back the better part of a decade, eight, ten years ago. I think there's a common theme in a lot of these submissions by these young men and by their parents in that Mr. Gassnola was not just a basketball coach. He took the role of coach, and viewed it as a much larger role. He viewed it as the role of a mentor. He

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

viewed it as a role of a strong adult male voice in the lives of young men that oftentimes did not have such an voice.

Mr. Gassnola, as the Court may know from the presentence investigation report, did not have such a voice in his young life, which caused him some trouble early on, your Honor.

The submissions also detail what he's done for them outside of basketball. I think it's important to note that these young men in particular -- I am going to quote from a couple -- detail what Mr. Gassnola did for them, regardless of their role on the team. In particular was a young man who was at Williams College in Massachusetts, who stated that when he first started on Mr. Gassnola's team, he was considered a stand-out player. Shortly thereafter, the second year he became much more of a supporting role player, and as is common in AAU basketball when a coach tells you you're not going to play that much, you find another coach that tells you differently. This young man did not. And he went on to describe the relationship that he had with Mr. Gassnola was the strong reason why he did not. Mr. Gassnola's mentorship and standing outside of his role as a coach had nothing do with the success of this young man on the team, whether he was a starting player or whether he was the last player off the Mr. Gassnola's mentorship continued throughout the course of that young man's adult life.

There's another submission by a young man why plays at

I believe the University of Notre Dame who stated that Mr. Gassnola's common theme when speaking with young players was that "I'm going to be with you for the rest of your life, whether you play basketball or not."

There was another young man going through a tough time at age 15. This young man is 23 years old now. At age 15, one of his childhood memories seared into his memory was that of being kicked out of his home. He had no place to go. His parents asked him to leave his home. The first person he turned to, Mr. Gassnola. Mr. Gassnola is still in that young man's life, who is 23 years old.

Mr. Gassnola went to parent-teacher conferences for individuals who did not have fathers to do that. Mr. Gassnola was there at all of these life events and has continually kept up the communication throughout the course of these young men's lives. This predates any of the activity in this case and certainly predates any idea that Mr. Gassnola would find himself on your Honor's doorstep this afternoon.

He is a young man who has taken significant steps in this case as well, your Honor. The conduct is well-known. But I just want to point out a couple of things. He began cooperating with the government from the very first contact. He pled guilty early on before he was even — to an information without having to be indicted. This is someone who gave information to the government throughout the course of the year

that he was involved that they may not have already have uncovered.

So, your Honor, we're here asking for a probationary sentence. We're also asking that to the extent that travel restrictions can be the least prohibitive possible,

Mr. Gassnola is employed with a company outside of

Massachusetts. His employment also means that he has to travel to other states other than that. He finds himself frequently traveling for business. So we'd ask the Court to consider if the Court was to place him on probation or supervised release that he have the least restrictive travel restrictions possible.

For all those reasons, your Honor, we're asking that the defendant be sentenced to probation. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Gassnola, is there anything you'd like to say? You have the right to speak.

any harm that I've caused in this matter, especially to my family. It's been a long two years. My family is everything to me, and I just want to move on, and try to re-build what I had for many years and try to get back on track. Again, I'm sorry for any harm I've caused in this matter. That's it, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Mark.

MR. MARK: Just very briefly, your Honor. Our letter is quite detailed, and your Honor has seen Mr. Gassnola testify. Two points I think particularly bear mention, which Mr. Kelly touched on, obviously is in our letter. One was the defendant's prompt acceptance of responsibility in this case, and two the nature and importance of his cooperation.

First as his to prompt acceptance, it was immediate. His acknowledgment of wrongdoing was complete. He started proffering with the government soon after retaining Mr. Kelly and after being approached by FBI agents. He was never charged initially. And he ultimately was the first defendant in these related cases to plead guilty, and he pled guilty to both conduct that the government knew about at the time he was first approached, as well as conduct that the government only learned about because of his cooperation. The defendant spoke and talked about many payments to other families of student athletes that the government didn't know about at that time and obviously drove up the defendant's exposure under the Sentencing Guidelines.

The fact that he spoke about and met with us about conduct that we didn't know about at the time turns into the second point, which is the nature and importance of that cooperation. Through these proffer sessions, the government learned about additional payments that were the basis for a

superseding indictment that was filed in the Gatto matter which reflected that the conduct in the initial complaint in the indictment was more pervasive, more extreme and existed over a period of years which was very important in shining a further light on the conduct that happened at Adidas during those periods of years.

And obviously his cooperation was important not just in obtaining that superseding indictment, but he testified at trial. He testified for three days, and it was a very difficult testimony, obviously, because one of the defendants in that case was a very good friend of his, James Gatto.

Nonetheless, he came in and understood that from the get-go he would have to cooperate, and he'd have to cooperate fully, and he took that responsibility on. And I think both of those points are very important for the Court to consider in determining a just sentence. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Gassnola, please rise for the imposition of sentence.

(Complies)

THE COURT: It is the judgment of this Court that you be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his designee for a term of imprisonment of time served; that you are thereafter to serve a term of supervised release of one year; and that you pay the mandatory

special assessment of \$100. You shall also pay restitution in the aggregate amount of \$342,437.75 to the payees and in the respective amounts and on the terms set forth in an order of restitution that I will sign in a few minutes.

The term of supervised release will be subject to the standard conditions of supervision 1 through 12, and the following special conditions:

First, you must provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information.

Second, you must not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer.

And, third, you shall serve a term of home detention of two months commencing on a date set by the probation officer. While you're on home detention, you may leave only for employment that is known to the probation officer, medical care, and any other activities approved in advance by the probation officer. You shall also comply if directed by the probation officer with electronic monitoring conditions.

I advise you that to whatever extent you haven't waived it, you have the right to appeal from the judgment imposing this sentence. If you wish to appeal, you must file a written notice of appeal no later than 14 days after the date on which judgment is entered, which could be as soon as today. That notice of appeal has to be filed with the Clerk of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

district court.

If you wish to appeal and you can't afford to pay the fees necessary to do so, you have the right to apply for percentages to appeal as a poor person. If that application were granted, you'd be permitted to appeal without payment of the fees. And if you couldn't afford a lawyer, a lawyer would be appointed for you at public expense.

You may be seated.

Now, counsel, I assume you've all seen the proposed form of the order of restitution, right?

MR. MARK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KELLY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection to it, Mr. Kelly?

MR. KELLY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Obviously, the sentence reflects the considerable value of Mr. Gassnola's cooperation with the government which was essential, or nearly so anyway, to making this case, and served a real public interest.

I should also add that the mandatory drug testing condition is suspended. I see no reason to believe there is any risk of drug abuse.

Anything else we need to cover, folks?

MR. KELLY: Not from our side, your Honor.

MR. MARK: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you. (Adjourned)